

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheet includes changes to Figs. 2 and 3. This sheet, which includes Figs. 2 and 3, replaces the original sheet including Figs. 2 and 3. The amended FIG. 2 includes reference number 100 that was inadvertently not included in the original drawing figure – but described in the paragraph beginning at page 7, line 1. FIG. 3 is amended to include reference numbers 164 and 174 corresponding to the original description in the specification.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

REMARKS

The Examiner is requested to approve the accompanying replacement drawings. The changes to the drawings are limited to the addition of reference numbers 100, 164 and 174 in accordance with the original specification.

Applicant has reviewed the Office Action dated September 2, 2010. Claims 1-10 and 12-14 were pending in the Application. Applicant has now canceled claims 5, 7 and 12 (7 and 12 apparently being the only rejected claims – claim 5 merely being objected to in view of the drawings). Applicant's cancellation of claims 5, 7 and 12 is without prejudice to argue the patentability of these claims in a continuation application.

Applicant has amended the specification and drawings to address informalities (clerical errors) in the reference numbers in the drawings and corresponding text of the specification. The drawing/specification objections are addressed herein below.

Drawing Objections (sections 2-4 of the Office Action)

Regarding section 2 of the Office Action (at page 2), Applicant has canceled claims 5 and 7 to render this objection moot.

Regarding section 3 of the Office Action, Applicant has amended the paragraph beginning on page 7, line 1 to properly identify the electrical connections 23 to contact surfaces 38 in FIG. 2. The specification is further amended to remove the reference number 18 with "keys."

Specification Objections (sections 5-9 of the Office Action)

Regarding section 6 of the Office Action, Applicant has amended the paragraph beginning on page 7, line 1 to: (1) correct the reference number 22 to properly recite 23, and (2) remove the potentially confusing text "which can contact contact surfaces 37." The contact surfaces 38 are shown in Fig. 2 (on the surface of the display 3).

Regarding section 7 of the Office Action, Applicant notes that the pin shaped connection corresponds to the pins 6 (in FIG. 1a).

Regarding section 8 of the Office Action, Applicant has amended the specification to reference the contact surfaces 38. The conducting parts 6 recited on page 7 are indeed the same as the pins 6 (of FIG. 1a).

Regarding section 9 of the Office Action, Applicant confirms that the spring 27 referenced on page p. 8, line 9 is NOT the same as the hook 27 referenced on page 6. The specification has been amended to properly reference the spring "102" in FIG. 2.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the patent application is in condition for allowance. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark Joy, Reg. No. 35,562
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6731
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: January 3, 2011